DENTAL XP GLOBAL

ANTERIOR IMPLANT ESTHETIC RISK ASSESSMENT

Dr. _____

Patient: _____ DOB: _____ Date: _____

Esthetic Risk Factors	Low Risk	Medium Risk	High RISK
Medical Status* SystemicCondition, i.e. Diabetes, Osteoporosis -Bisphosphonates ☐ -Immune-suppressed ☐ - Smoker ☐	Healthy ☐ _____ _____	Controlled ☐ _____ _____	Poorly controlled ☐ _____ _____
Patient's Esthetic expectations	☐ Low	☐ Medium	☐ High
Smile Line	☐ Low	☐ Medium	☐ High
Gingival Biotype (measured 2-3mm subgingival)	☐ Thick ≥ 2 mm	☐ Medium 1-2mm	☐ Thin ≤ 1 mm
Labial Plate (Extraction Site)	☐ Intact No Deficiency	☐ Dehiscence narrow/shallow	☐ Missing Wide Defect
Interproximal Bone (At Adjacent Tooth)	☐ ≤ 4.5 mm from contact pt	☐ bet. 4.5-5.5mm from contact pt	☐ ≥ 6 mm from contact pt
Classification of Site	☐ Type 1 Ideal	☐ Type 2 Labial Deficiency	☐ Type 3 Interproximal Deficiency
Edentulous Span	☐ Single Tooth	☐ ≥ 3 missing teeth Allowing Pontics	☐ 2 adjacent missing teeth
Bone Augmentation As needed	☐ Type 1 Intact Socket	☐ Horizontal Deficiency	☐ Vertical Deficiency
Thickness of facial bone (Extraction Site)	☐ ≥ 1.5 mm	☐ 1.0 mm	☐ ≤ 0.5 mm
Keratinized Gingiva Width	☐ ≥ 4 mm Band	☐ 2.5-3.5 mm Band	☐ ≤ 2.0 mm Band CT Graft Required
Infection at implant site	☐ None	☐ Chronic	☐ Acute - suppuration -
Reason for Tooth Loss	☐ Caries, Tooth fracture	☐ Endodontic Failure	☐ Periodontal Disease, Or Trauma
Nasopalatine Canal	☐ No Affect on Implant Orientation	☐ Manageable Without grafting	☐ Affects Orientation Grafting required
Interdental Space	☐ Ideal	☐ Restorative on adjacent teeth	☐ Orthodontics required

Esthetic Prognosis: ☐ Good ☐ Guarded ☐ Poor Pink Porcelain Likely

Tx Plan Notes: _____

_____ Date: _____